## **MDT**oolbox

Email: support@mdtoolbox.com Website: www.mdtoolbox.com





# **CONTENTS**

Overview2	
Real Word Testing Overview	2
Product Info	3
Changes to Original Test Plan	3
Product Summary	3
Scope of Certification	3
Testing Approach Justification	3
Testing Results4	
Test Method	4
Definition of Metrics Recorded	4
Metrics – Summary of Results	5
Participant (Fnd-Users) Demographics Summary	6

# **Overview**

#### **Real Word Testing Overview**

MDToolbox conducts real world software testing each year. This testing is in addition to internal QA Software testing that is completed by test engineers. This report covers the Real World Software Testing completed Q4 of 2023 (internal testing is not covered in this report).

**Real World Testing Approach:** Usability Testing – End User Testing and Feedback Sessions

**Testing Plan:** The testing was conducted following the 2023 Testing Plan created in Q1 2023. Please see Testing Plan on our website for a copy of the plan. No adjustments were made to the plan.



#### **Product Info**

Developer Name: MDToolbox Product Name: MDToolbox Rx

Version Number: 5

Certified Health IT CHPL Number: 15.02.05.1832.MDTB.01.01.1.211105

RWT Test Plan URL: <a href="https://mdtoolbox.com/meaningfuluse-stage3.aspx">https://mdtoolbox.com/meaningfuluse-stage3.aspx</a>

RWT Test Result Report URL: <a href="https://mdtoolbox.com/meaningfuluse-stage3.aspx">https://mdtoolbox.com/meaningfuluse-stage3.aspx</a>

#### **Changes to Original Test Plan**

Summary of Change	Reason	Impact
NA	NA	NA

#### **Product Summary**

MDToolbox Rx is a specialized electronic prescribing application that allows tracking patients, patient records and writing and sending electronic prescriptions. Real World Testing is conducted annually and on an as needed basis to make sure the product is compliant and user friendly for all target end users.

#### **Scope of Certification**

170.315(b)(3) Electronic prescribing

Relied upon software: Multum/Lexi (Drug Database)

#### **Testing Approach Justification**

Usability testing with current active users of varying experience and background is the best way to identify any usability problems, collect qualitative and quantitative data and determine our user's satisfaction with the product. Direct communication during this process will allow for understanding any suggestions, frustrations, or improvements from our user-base.

# **Testing Results**

#### Test Method

- Randomly selected End-User Participants joined a 1 on 1 Screen Share Test Session where they were asked to follow a pre-determined Test Script to accomplish specific Tasks in the Software. Tasks were related to Certified and Non-Certified functions throughout the program.
- Sessions were recorded and timed by the Test Proctor (an MDToolbox Technical Staff Member). The
  Test Proctor read the Task Instructions and did not offer any assistance during the Task Time. After
  the Participant completed the task, the Test Proctor recorded metrics for Effectiveness, Efficiency and
  Satisfaction of the Software Usage. All Feedback was recorded in detail.

#### **Definition of Metrics Recorded**

All Tasks in the Test Script were pre-tested by Technical Support Staff at MDToolbox for Baseline Metrics. Baseline metrics were set for each Task for: Expected Time the task should be completed in, and Expected steps/workflow the participant should take to accomplish the task.

For each Individual Test Session, the Test Proctor recorded the following metrics:

- Effectiveness of MDToolbox-Rx by measuring participant success rates and errors
  - Success: Completed the task in the expected allotted time
  - Failure: Did not complete or took longer than expected
- Efficiency of MDToolbox-Rx by measuring the average task time and path deviations
  - Task Deviations: Number of unexpected steps the end user completed during the task
  - Task Time: Time it took each participant to complete the task. (Participants that did not complete the task are not included in the average)
- Satisfaction with MDToolbox-Rx by measuring ease of use ratings
  - End User Feedback: Based on feedback from the participant at the end of the task

### **Metrics – Summary of Results**

	Effectiveness		Efficiency		Satisfaction	
	Success	Failure^	Deviations	Avg Time	Feedback	
Quickly Find Patient Chart	100%	0%	0	6.4 seconds	100% Satisfaction Rate	
Request Rx History	90%	10%	1	15 seconds	95% Satisfaction Rate	
Write Rx	100%	0%	2	44 seconds	80% Satisfaction Rate 2 suggestions/feedback+	
Assign Rx Diagnosis	90%	10%	1	**	**	
Find Pharmacy	80%	20%	2	**	**	
Electronically Send Rx	100%	0%	1	54 seconds	80% Satisfaction Rate 2 suggestions/feedback	
Approve e-Refill	100%	0%	1	37 seconds		
Approve e-Change Request	40%	60%	1	32 seconds	*Many Test Subjects had not used this feature and did not locate it under the time limit allotted.  90% satisfaction for those that completed	

<sup>^</sup>Failure percentage includes percentage of participants that did not complete the task or just did not complete in the Expected Allotted Time.

<sup>+</sup>All feedback recorded during the sessions has been included in Internal Detail Logs and will be used for consideration for future improvements to the software

<sup>\*</sup>Approve e-Change feature main suggestion: Currently listed under Alerts – Several participants couldn't find it and suggested it should have its own menu or be listed with Refills

<sup>\*\*</sup>The Test Proctor timed the Assign Rx Diagnosis/Pharmacy and Electronically Send Rx tasks together – see the Electronic row for total time on related tasks.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Note: Relied upon software RWT Testing: (1) Drug Database Software was used for Write Rx

## Participant (End-Users) Demographics Summary

• `	, .		
Age range of participant:	~ 20-40 (15%); 40-60 (65%); 61+ (20%)		
Gender:	M (25%); F (75%)		
Credentials:	MD (25%), NP (50%); APRN (25%)		
Care Setting:	45% of Participants were in Psychiatric or Mental Health Care Setting, 15% Family/GP, and 40% specialty care clinics		
Technology experience:	15% of participants considered themselves very little experience, 25% felt they were average experience and 60% felt they were good with technology		
Time with MDToolbox:	15% of participants were brand new users to MDToolbox, 15% had been using the software about <1 year and the remaining 70% over 1 year		

# STANDARDS UPDATES (INCLUDING STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS (SVAP) AND UNITED STATES CORE DATA FOR INTEROPERABILITY (USCDI))

Indicate as to whether optional standards, via SVAP and/or USCDI, are leveraged as part of the certification of your health IT product(s).

	Yes, I have products certified with voluntary SVAP or USCDI standards. (If yes, please complete the table
belov	v).

\_\_X\_\_ No, none of my products include these voluntary standards

#### **KEY MILESTONES**

Key Milestone	Care Setting	Planned Date/Timeframe	Completed Date/Timeframe
Q1 Real World QA Test Planning	All in Scope	Q1 2023	Q1 2023
Build Test Scripts/Goal list	All in Scope	Q2 2023	Q2 & Q3 2023
Perform Real World Testing & Gather Data	All in Scope	Q3 2023	Q4 2023
Create final reports and submit	All In Scope	Q4 2023	Q4 2023 & Q1 2024